UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/559,788	12/08/2005	Krister Kumlin	268-105 (AMK)	8110
	7590 03/18/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	SMITH, KIMBERLY S		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3644	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/18/2008	PAPER
			05/15/2000	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/559,788	KUMLIN, KRISTER			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kimberly S. Smith	3644			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 December</u> 2a)    This action is <b>FINAL</b> .    2b)    This  3)    Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 08 December 2005 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	r election requirement. r. re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object	•			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
<i>,</i> — • • •	animer. Note the attached Office	AGIOTI OF TOTION 102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/08/05.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/559,788 Page 2

Art Unit: 3644

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Specification

1. The incorporation of essential material in the specification by reference to an unpublished U.S. application, foreign application or patent, or to a publication is improper. Applicant is required to amend the disclosure to include the material incorporated by reference, if the material is relied upon to overcome any objection, rejection, or other requirement imposed by the Office. The amendment must be accompanied by a statement executed by the applicant, or a practitioner representing the applicant, stating that the material being inserted is the material previously incorporated by reference and that the amendment contains no new matter. 37 CFR 1.57(f).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 7, 9, 10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Regarding claims 7 and 9A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The

Application/Control Number: 10/559,788 Page 3

Art Unit: 3644

the range/limitation.

Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 7 recites the broad recitation at least 10%, and the claim also recites preferably at least 20% which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation, claim 9 recites the broad recitation at least 5 % and the claim also recites preferably at least 10% which is the narrower statement of

- 5. Claim 10 recites the limitation "said displacement-changing relative movement" and "said length-changing relative movement in lines 2-3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 6. Claim 13 recites the limitation "the mass centere of the displacement" and "the mass center of the wobbler" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/559,788 Page 4

Art Unit: 3644

8. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bowman, US Patent 3,337,981 in view of Simmons, US Patent 2,709,868.

- 9. Bowman discloses a rotationally symmetrical first structural member (12) in threaded engagement with a second structural member (10) enclosing two cavities having a volume filled with gas which is variable by means of relative rotary motion, having sealing members (22, 17). However, Bowman does not disclose at least one point of attachment for a line and a hook. Simmons teaches within the same field of endeavor the use of a hook (58) and line (32) attachment for using a float as a lure. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to place a hook and line attachment on the device of Bowman so as to allow for interchangeable usage of the apparatus as taught by Simmons.
- 10. Regarding claims 7 and 9, Bowman in view of Simmons discloses the invention but does not disclosure the claimed volume increase or length difference. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have at least a 20% increase in volume and the second length at least 10% longer than the first length, since it has been held where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPO 233.
- 11. Regarding claims 12-15, Bowman in view of Simmons discloses the invention except for the location of the center of mass and displacement variations. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the center of mass of the displacement closer to the backside of the lure since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art.

Art Unit: 3644

12. Regarding claim 16, as Bowman in view of Simmons discloses the claimed invention, it thereby is considered a wobbler as no further structure has been claimed to further define the wobbler.

#### Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note documents listed on attached Notice of References Cited.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly S. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-6909. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 10:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Mansen can be reached on 571-272-6608. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kimberly S Smith/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3644